WSHC 1

WOODWARD'S VISION 2005 QUESTIONNAIRE PRELIMINARY RESULTS

- 1. DRIVING FORCE
- 2. GUIDING PRINCIPLES
- 3. ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS
- 4. PROCESS
- 5. VALIDITY AND RELIABILITY
- 6. LESSONS LEARNED AKA HINDSIGHT IS 20/20
- 7. FINDINGS
- 8. INTERPRETATIONS

DRIVING FORCE:

- FOLKS FROM THE WOODWARDS SQUAT
- WSHC
- COMMUNITY MEMBERS FROM WITHIN THE DTES
- FOLKS FROM OUTSIDE THE COMMUNITY

GUIDING PRINCIPLES (TAKEN FROM THE FRONT PAGE OF THE QUESTIONNAIRE):

- CONFIDENTIALITY MUST BE MAINTAINED

- THE IDEAS FORMING THE QUESTIONNAIRE CATEGORIES AND QUESTIONS WERE DEVELOPED BY THE PEOPLE, THEREFORE, THE FINDINGS <u>BELONG</u> TO THE PEOPLE, AND INTERPRETATIONS OF THE FINDINGS MUST BE **DETERMINED** AND <u>CONFIRMED</u> BY THE PEOPLE.

- AFTER VALIDATING THE FINDINGS, THE PEOPLE MUST BE INVOLVED IN DETERMINING HOW BEST TO PROCEED IN IDENTIFYING AND PLANNING FOR ACCEPTABLE AND ACCESSIBLE SERVICES.

- INDIVIDUALS WHO ARE HOMELESS, ADDICTED, MENTALLY ILL, OR MAY BE 1997 WHAT OUTSIDERS PERCEIVE AS CHAOTIC LIVES ARE TO BE INVOLVED IN THE ENTIRE RESEARCH PROCESS.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS:

- FOLKS WHO CONTRIBUTED THEIR IDEAS AND GAVE DIRECTION FOR QUESTIONS.

- FOLKS FROM THE DTES COMMUNITY WHO COLLECTED THE DATA. JIM LEYDEN DID AN AMAZING JOB IN COORDINATING THIS CRITICAL PIECE OF THE SURVEY AND IS DIRECTLY RESPONSIBLE FOR THE EXCELLENT RESPONSE RATE AND VARIATION IN PEOPLE COMPLETING THE SURVEY. - THE WSHC.

- THE "EXPERT" WHO PROVIDED VALUABLE FEEDBACK ABOUT THE QUESTIONNAIRE.

- DR. PAM RATNER AND DR. PEGGY ANN FIELD WHO ANSWERED QUESTIONS ABOUT THE ANALYSIS AND VALIDATION PROCESS (AFTER THE SURVEY WAS ALREADY RETURNED - THEY HAD NO PART IN DEVELOPMENT OF THE FORM.)

PROCESS:

- BRAIN STORMING OF WHAT FOLKS WOULD LIKE TO SEE IN WOODWARDS.

- CATEGORIES DEVELOPED.

- QUESTIONS AND CHECKLISTS DEVELOPED TO CAPTURE WHAT THE CATEGORIES WERE ALL ABOUT.

- RAN A COUPLE OF PILOTS.

- DATA COLLECTION

- WHAT STAYED IN: YES/NO'S HAD TO INCLUDE GENDER, IN/OUT DTES, HOME/HOMELESS; CHECKLISTS AND COMMENTS WERE ALL INCLUDED.

- DATA ANALYSIS (NUMBER CRUNCHING FOR YES/NO QUESTIONS, WRITING OUT THE WRITTEN STUFF (QUALITATIVE) ON CUE CARDS)

- CUE CARD SORT

- TENTATIVE CATEGORIES FOR THE WRITTEN STUFF DEVELOPED.

- NEED TO CONFIRM CATEGORIES AND NAMING OF CATEGORIES WITH MEMBERS OF THE COMMUNITY

RELIABILITY AND VALIDITY:

- WILL BE VALIDATED WITH THE PEOPLE

- AUDIT TRAIL AVAILABLE